## **CORPORATE PARENTING BOARD –16 JULY 2018**

Title	e of paper:	Children in Care Performance Report (Q3 and Q4 2017-2018)		
	ctor(s)/	Helen Blackman – Director, Children's Wards affected:		
Corp	rporate Director(s): Integrated Services All			
Rep	ort author(s) and	Clive Chambers – Head of Children in Care		
cont	act details:	clive.chambers@nottinghamcity.gov.uk		
Other colleagues who				
have provided input:  Date of consultation with Portfolio Holder(s)				
(if relevant)				
Relevant Council Plan Key Theme:				
Strategic Regeneration and Development				
Schools				
Planning and Housing				
Community Services				
Energy, Sustainability and Customer  Jobs, Growth and Transport				
Adults, Health and Community Sector				
Children, Early Intervention and Early Years				
Leisure and Culture				
Resources and Neighbourhood Regeneration				
Summary of issues (including benefits to citizens/service users):				
In order to ensure continual service development and to provide the best support to our children in				
care and care leavers, it is essential that the performance of the Children in Care service is				
monitored and open to scrutiny by Corporate Parenting Board ('Board') members. The purpose of				
this report is to provide the Board with the most up to date Children in Care performance data,				
relevant to the areas being discussed at the July 2018 Corporate Parenting Board meeting.				
Pocommondation(s):				
<ul> <li>Recommendation(s):</li> <li>To acknowledge the current performance position of the Children in Care service, against</li> </ul>				
	identified key performance indicators, as detailed in the appendix. Where necessary, members			
	are invited to offer suggestion for remedial action in areas of poor performance and			
	developmental suggestions in all other areas.			

## 1 REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS

1.1 As Corporate Parents, ensuring the best possible outcomes for our children is paramount. It is not possible to establish how well we are supporting our children to achieve their full potential, without having a clear understanding of our performance in regards to key performance indicators.

- 2 BACKGROUND (INCLUDING OUTCOMES OF CONSULTATION)
- 2.1 None.
- 3 OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED IN MAKING RECOMMENDATIONS
- 3.1 None.
- 4 FINANCE COLLEAGUE COMMENTS (INCLUDING IMPLICATIONS AND VALUE FOR MONEY/VAT)
- 4.1 None.
- 5 <u>LEGAL AND PROCUREMENT COLLEAGUE COMMENTS (INCLUDING RISK MANAGEMENT ISSUES, AND LEGAL, CRIME AND DISORDER ACT AND PROCUREMENT IMPLICATIONS)</u>
- 5.1 None.
- 6 STRATEGIC ASSETS & PROPERTY COLLEAGUE COMMENTS (FOR DECISION RELATING TO ALL PROPERTY ASSETS AND ASSOCIATED INFRASTRUCTURE) (AREA COMMITTEE REPORTS ONLY)
- 6.1 None.
- 7 EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT
- 7.1 An EIA is not required as the report does contain proposals or financial decisions.
- 8 <u>LIST OF BACKGROUND PAPERS OTHER THAN PUBLISHED WORKS OR</u> THOSE DISCLOSING CONFIDENTIAL OR EXEMPT INFORMATION
- 8.1 Appendix 1: CiC Performance Report
- 9 PUBLISHED DOCUMENTS REFERRED TO IN COMPILING THIS REPORT
- 9.1 None.